

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.nrpto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/715,556 11/19/2003		Swen Holder	03806.0590-00	5066	
22852 75	590 10/24/2005		EXAMINER		
FINNEGAN,	HENDERSON, FARAI	HABTE, KAHSAY			
LLP 901 NEW YOR	K AVENUE, NW	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE			
	N, DC 20001-4413	1624			

DATE MAILED: 10/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/715,5	56	HOLDER ET AL.					
		Examine	r	Art Unit					
		Kahsay H	abte, Ph. D.	1624	•				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on .							
<i>'</i> —	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are pending in the application.									
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are rejected.								
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)□	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicati	on Papers								
9) 🗆 :	The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:									
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage									
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).									
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
	· ·		·		•				
Attachmen			A) [] Internation (2)	(DTO 440)					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO	-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
3) Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTor No(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	mal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/715,556 Page 2

Art Unit: 1624

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-28 are pending in this application.

## **Double Patenting**

2. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

3. Claims 1-8 and 26-28 are provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-8 and 27-29 of copending Application No. 10/715,358. This is a <u>provisional</u> double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Application/Control Number: 10/715,556

Art Unit: 1624

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-7 and 26-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yoshizaki et al. (WO 99/44995). Cited reference discloses a compound of interest: 2,3-dihydro-6-(4-methoxyphenyl)-N-methyl-3-oxo-4-Pyridazinecarboxamide that is the same as applicants when applicant's formula (I) has the following substituents:

Ar = phenyl substituted with methoxy; A = CONH-methyl (see below).

```
RN Z43862-95-5 CAPLUS
CN (-Pyridazinecarboxamide, 2,3-dihydro-6-(4-methoxyphenyl)-N-methyl-3-oxo-
(9CI) (CA INDEX NAME)

Out
Menu-C
0
0
```

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Art Unit: 1624

Claims 17-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. In claims 17-24, it is recited a method of treating cancer in general but the specification is not enabled for such a scope.

The claim sets forth the treatment of cancer generally. However, there never has been a compound capable of treating cancer generally. There are compounds that treat a range of cancers, but no one has ever been able to figure out how to get a compound to be effective against cancer generally, or even a majority of cancers. Thus, the existence of such a "silver bullet" is contrary to our present understanding in oncology. Even the most broadly effective antitumor agents are only effective against a small fraction of the vast number of different cancers known. This is true in part because cancers arise from a wide variety of sources, such as viruses (e.g. EBV, HHV-8, and HTLV-1), exposure to chemicals such as tobacco tars, genetic disorders, ionizing radiation, and a wide variety of failures of the body's cell growth regulatory mechanisms. Different types of cancers affect different organs and have different methods of growth and harm to the body, and different vulnerabilities. Thus, it is beyond the skill of oncologists today to get an agent to be effective against cancers generally, evidence that the level of skill in this art is low relative to the difficulty of such a task.

Application/Control Number: 10/715,556

Art Unit: 1624

In claim 25, it is recited a method of treating cancer that is solid tumor, but "tumor" covers more than just cancers. It also covers many neoplasms, cancerous or not. A neoplasm is any abnormal tissue that grows by cellular proliferation more rapidly than normal, or continues to grow after the stimulus that initiated the new growth has ceased, or shows lack (partial or complete) of structural organization and/or coordination with surrounding tissue. It can be benign or malignant. Thus, such a term, also covers precancerous conditions such as lumps, lesions, and polyps. In addition, "tumor" covers things other than neoplasms. It also covers any kind of swelling arising from inflammation. Thus, the claim would cover treatment of many kinds of inflammation. The specification cannot support that.

When the best efforts have failed to achieve a goal, it is reasonable for the PTO to require evidence that such a goal has been accomplished, *In re Ferens*, 163 USPQ 609. The failure of skilled scientists to achieve a goal is substantial evidence that achieving such a goal is beyond the skill of practitioners in that art, *Genentech vs Novo Nordisk*, 42 USPQ2nd 1001, 1006.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-7 and 9-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter

which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 1 and claims dependent thereon are rejected because in the definition of R and Ar the phrases "aryl, heterocyclyl and heteroaryl may in turn be at least monosubstituted with C<sub>1</sub>-C<sub>6</sub>alkyl....or OH" or "aryl and heteroaryl may in turn be at least monosubstituted with C<sub>1</sub>-C<sub>6</sub>alkyl....or OH" are not clear. What does "may in turn be" mean? This is not a standard claim language. Is this a proviso that requires that the aryl is monosubstituted by substituents such as alkyl, alkoxy? Then, the claim should be amended so that it reads as proviso.

Note that in the definition of R and Ar, it appears that "at least monosubstituted is referring to  $C_1$ - $C_{10}$  alkyl" and not to other definitions of R or Ar. Is this the case?

#### Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kahsay Habte, Ph. D. whose telephone number is (571) 272-0667. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9.00AM- 5:30PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Wilson can be reached at (571) 272-0661. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571)-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/715,556 Page 7

Art Unit: 1624

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kahsay Habte, Ph. D. Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1624

KH

October 19, 2005